```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
 2
                        FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA
 3
                                       CASE NO. 2:23-mj-0475-NJK
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                  Plaintiff,
                                      Las Vegas, Nevada
                                       June 5, 2023
 5
                                       Courtroom 3B
           VS.
 6
                                       Recording method: Liberty/CRD
   ANTHONY LEWIS HAMELIN,
                                       3:24 p.m. - 3:33 p.m.
 7
                                       INITIAL APPEARANCE
                  Defendant.
 8
                                       CERTIFIED COPY
 9
10
11
                        TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
12
                 BEFORE THE HONORABLE BRENDA N. WEKSLER
              UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13
14
   APPEARANCES: (See next page)
15
16
   Recorded by: J. Miller
17
    Transcribed by:
                       PAIGE M. CHRISTIAN, RMR, CRR, CCR #955
                       United States District Court
18
                       333 Las Vegas Boulevard South
                       Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
    Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording.
    Transcript produced by mechanical stenography and computer.
25
```

```
1
   APPEARANCES:
 2
 3
    For the Government:
 4
           STEVEN J. ROSE, AUSA
           UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
 5
           501 Las Vegas Boulevard South
           Suite 1100
 6
           Las Vegas, NV 89101
           (702) 388-6206
 7
           E-mail: steven rose@usdoj.gov
8
    For Defendant Anthony Lewis Hamelin:
 9
           BRIAN D. PUGH, AFPD
           OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER
10
           411 E. Bonneville Avenue
11
           Suite 250
           Las Vegas, NV 89101
12
           (702) 388-6577
           E-mail: brian pugh@fd.org
13
14
15
16
    ALSO PRESENT: Vanessa Montes, PTS
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA; JUNE 6, 2023; 3:24 P.M.
2	000
3	PROCEEDINGS
4	COURTROOM ADMINISTRATOR: Your Honor, we are now
5	calling United States of America vs. Anthony Lewis Hamelin. The
6	case number is 2:23-mj-0475-NJK.
7	Beginning with government counsel, will counsel
8	please state your names for the record.
9	MR. ROSE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Steven Rose
10	for the government. I'm standing in today on behalf of Jake
11	Operskalski.
12	THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Rose.
13	MR. PUGH: Brian Pugh on behalf of Mr. Hamelin, who's
14	present and in custody.
15	THE COURT: Good afternoon to both of you.
16	All right. Sir, before we go forward, could you
17	please state your full name for the record.
18	THE DEFENDANT: Anthony Lewis Hamelin, L-E-W-I-S
19	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
20	THE DEFENDANT: H-A-M-E-L-I-N.
21	THE COURT: Thank you.
22	How old are you, sir?
23	THE DEFENDANT: 51.
24	THE COURT: And how far have you gone in school?
25	THE DEFENDANT: About a mile and a half.

1	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
2	THE DEFENDANT: That was a joke. I said a mile and a
3	half.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	THE DEFENDANT: I have a master's degree in public
6	administration.
7	THE COURT: All right. Very well.
8	The complaint in this case charges you with
9	influencing, impeding, or retaliating against a federal official
10	by threatening a family member. This is a violation of Title 18
11	United States Code Section 115 subsection (a)(1)(A).
12	Did you receive a copy of the complaint?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
14	THE COURT: Do you understand what it is you're being
15	accused of?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am, I do.
17	THE COURT: You have the right to assistance of
18	counsel at all stages of these proceedings, and if you cannot
19	hire counsel, one will be appointed to you at the public's
20	expense.
	<u>-</u>
21	Can you afford to hire counsel at this time?
21 22	
	Can you afford to hire counsel at this time?
22	Can you afford to hire counsel at this time? THE DEFENDANT: No, ma'am.

1	THE COURT: Did you understand that when you were
2	providing answers to Mr. Pugh, you were doing so under penalty of
3	perjury?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
5	THE COURT: And did you provide truthful information
6	to him?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
8	THE COURT: I've reviewed the financial affidavit
9	form. I find that you qualify for the appointment of counsel and
10	will appoint the Office of the Federal Public Defender to
11	represent you.
12	Sir, you're not required to make any statements about
13	the charges, either here in court or to any law enforcement
14	officer. Anything you say can be used against you.
15	Do you understand these rights?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
17	THE COURT: You also have the right to a preliminary
18	hearing at which the government will be required to show that
19	there is probable cause to believe that you committed the crime
20	charged.
21	This provision may or may not apply to you. If
22	you're not a United States citizen, you have the right to request
23	that an attorney for the government notify the counselor office
24	of your country of nationality.
25	Under Criminal Rule 5(f), the government is ordered

```
to comply with its disclosure obligations under Brady v. Maryland
 1
 2
    and related cases. Failure to do so may result in sanctions.
 3
                Sir, do you understand the purpose of this hearing?
 4
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes. The purpose of the hearing is
 5
    to set a hearing.
 6
                THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Pugh, do you have any
 7
    reason to question the competence of the defendant to understand
 8
    the charges against him and to assist in his defense?
                MR. PUGH: I do not.
 9
10
                THE COURT: Thank you very much.
                As to the detention hearing, Mr. Rose, is the
11
12
    government moving for detention?
13
                MR. ROSE: Your Honor, I'm asking for detention under
14
    18 U.S.C. Section 3142(f)(2)(A) and (B) and are ready to proceed.
                THE COURT: Give me the section one more time,
15
16
   please.
17
                MR. ROSE: 3142(f)(2)(A) and (B).
18
                THE COURT: All right. Very well.
19
                Mr. Pugh, are you ready to proceed?
20
                MR. PUGH: We are, Your Honor. For the Court's
21
    information, Mr. Hamelin is waiving his right to argue for his
2.2
    release and is submitting.
23
                THE COURT: All right. Very well.
24
                Still need you, Mr. Rose, to go ahead and make a
25
    record.
```

```
MR. ROSE: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
 1
 2
                 (Indiscernible) first at the -- argue both under the
 3
    risk of flight and the risk of safety to the community.
 4
    looking both at the nature of the underlying offense, obviously,
 5
    it is (indiscernible) concern given the specific allegations that
    are made -- this specific threat that was made to the family
 6
 7
   member.
8
                In addition to that, Your Honor, if you look at the
    defendant's prior criminal history, although it is
9
10
    (indiscernible) offense, the defendant does have a prior
    conviction for a very similar offense, that being a conviction in
11
12
    approximately 1994 for threats against the President after
13
    sending threats through the mail against the President. This
14
    resulted in a 30 to 30-month -- -7-month sentence.
15
                 (Indiscernible) that was enough to stop the defendant
16
    from -- from sending the threat that he did in this particular
17
    case, it's also of note to the government that in the prior case,
18
    the threats there not only were they threats against the
19
    President, but the defendant made statements to the effect that
20
    he wanted to be known as someone who had assassinated the
    President.
21
2.2
                Then, you turn to the case at hand, and not only
23
    did -- does the government have evidence of the threats in the
24
    form of text messages and the voicemail, but it's my
25
    understanding that later on, the defendant was interviewed.
                                                                  And
```

after he was read his rights, he gave a statement to the agents investigating the case wherein he acknowledged making a number of these statements and indeed was giving a rationale for it wherein it sounded almost as if the defendant was proud of the statements that he made. This was, in his mind, a good plan.

He's also very clear in what he said. This was not something where he said, Oh, I don't like this person. I'm going to harm them. He gave a very specific plan as to what he was going to do, even identifying a specific weapon that he wished to use.

In addition to all of that, Your Honor, you have (indiscernible) other side of the (indiscernible), the other factors for the Court to consider. But unfortunately, the defendant here declines to interview with Pretrial, so the Court doesn't have a way to evaluate his employment, his financial resources, and length of residence in the community, a history of (indiscernible). His declination to interview with Pretrial has also deprived the Court of the ability to assess his family ties. The Court can't consider or look at, you know, for example, a third-party custodian.

So, for all of the reasons, I believe the -- I'm sorry, the government has met its burden both (indiscernible) preponderance of the evidence, because it does appear, at least on facts known to the government, that the defendant has less than stable housing, has already articulated a desire to go from

```
Nevada to another state. I believe the government has shown the
1
 2
   preponderance of the evidence for the risk of flight and the
 3
    clear and convincing evidence for the risk of danger to the
 4
    community.
 5
                With that, I'll submit.
                THE COURT: All right. I've reviewed the charging
 6
 7
    documents, the Pretrial Services report, and heard arguments from
8
    counsel. I find here that the government has met its burden to
9
    show by a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or
10
    combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance
11
    of such person as required, and the safety of any other person
12
    and the community.
13
                I also find that the government has met its burden by
14
    clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of
15
    conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person
16
    and the community.
17
                For those reasons, Mr. Hamelin will be detained
18
   pending his preliminary hearing in this case. The rationale for
19
   my decision is as follows: He has not interviewed with Pretrial
20
    Services. As a result, I have no information regarding his
21
   history, his residence, or family ties. I don't have any
2.2
    information regarding his employment history and very little
23
    information about his financial resources. I don't have any
24
    information regarding his health.
25
                With regards to his prior criminal record, while all
```

```
of the convictions are rather stale, I don't -- I do note a
 1
 2
    pattern, as the government has noted, with regard to the threats
 3
    against individuals who hold office such as the one contained in
 4
    the complaint. The priors here have to do with threats against
 5
    the President.
                I rely on the proffer that the government provided
 6
 7
    regarding the nature of the current offense, and for that reason
 8
    and the prior conviction that I just mentioned, I find that no
    conditions can be fashioned in this case.
 9
10
                Do we need any other dates, Mr. Miller?
11
                COURTROOM ADMINISTRATOR: Yes, Your Honor. For the
12
    record, the preliminary hearing date in the matter will be
13
    scheduled for Monday, the June 19th, 2023, at four o'clock p.m.,
14
    in Courtroom 3C before the honorable Judge Koppe.
15
                THE COURT: All right. Mr. Pugh, I see that your
16
    client wants to say something --
17
                THE DEFENDANT: I just have one question, Your Honor.
18
                            Talk to -- talk to your attorney first.
                THE COURT:
19
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes. If -- if these people are so
20
    important that they need federal protection, why are they not
    (indiscernible)?
21
2.2
                They need to be named. Name them if they're so
23
    important they need to be federally protected. You -- you don't
24
    even miss --
25
                THE COURT:
                            Okay. It doesn't sound to me like you
```

•	
1	have a question. You have more of a complaint.
2	Mr Mr. Rose, do you have anything further in this
3	case?
4	MR. ROSE: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
5	THE COURT: Mr. Pugh, do you have anything further?
6	MR. PUGH: No, Your Honor. Thank you
7	THE COURT: All right. Very well. Thank you.
8	COURTROOM ADMINISTRATOR: All rise.
9	(Proceedings adjourned at 3:33 p.m.)
10	000
11	I, Paige M. Christian, a court-appointed transcriber,
12	certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript transcribed
13	from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings
14	in the above-entitled matter.
15	
16	Date: September 16, 2024
17	/s/ Paige M. Christian
18	Paige M. Christian, RMR, CRR, CCR #955 Official Court Reporter
19	United States District Court District of Nevada
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	